



# CITY OF LEAVENWORTH, KANSAS WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT MASTER PLAN AND COLLECTION SYSTEM UPDATE

## Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Executive Summary .....	ES-1
1.1 Background and Purpose.....	ES-1
1.2 Disinfection Improvements .....	ES-1
1.3 Nutrient Removal Evaluation.....	ES-2
1.4 Collection System – I&I Assessment and Reduction Plan.....	ES-2
1.5 Implementation.....	ES-3
1.0 Introduction .....	1-1
1.1 Background .....	1-2
1.2 Facilities Description.....	1-2
1.3 Population Projections and Industrial Growth .....	1-2
1.4 Influent Wastewater Flow .....	1-2
1.4.1 Recent Flow Data .....	1-2
1.4.2 Flow Projections.....	1-3
1.5 Influent Wastewater Loads .....	1-4
1.5.1 Recent Data .....	1-4
1.5.2 Projected Loads .....	1-7
1.6 Plant Hydraulics .....	1-9
1.6.1 Missouri River Level.....	1-9
1.6.2 KDHE Requirements.....	1-9
1.6.3 Hydraulic Capacity of Existing Facilities .....	1-10
1.6.4 Potential Hydraulic Modifications .....	1-11
2.0 Nutrient Removal Alternatives .....	2-1
2.1 Introduction and Background.....	2-1
2.2 Upgrade of Existing Facilities for Nutrient Removal .....	2-2
2.2.1 Activated Sludge Process .....	2-2
2.2.2 Possible Alternative Processes .....	2-5
2.3 Nutrient Credit Trading .....	2-6
2.3.1 External Trading.....	2-6
2.3.2 Internal Trading.....	2-9



	<b><u>Page</u></b>
3.0 Disinfection Alternatives .....	3-1
3.1 Purpose of Study .....	3-1
3.2 Background .....	3-1
3.2.1 Disinfection Regulatory Requirements .....	3-1
3.2.2 Future Regulations .....	3-2
3.2.3 Design Flow Rates.....	3-2
3.3 Technology Overview .....	3-3
3.3.1 Ultraviolet Light .....	3-3
3.3.2 Low Pressure, High Intensity Lamps .....	3-4
3.3.3 Bulk Sodium Hypochlorite and Sodium Bisulfite.....	3-5
3.4 Disinfection Testing Results .....	3-7
3.4.1 Sample Collection and Bench-Scale Testing .....	3-8
3.4.2 Bench Scale UV Test .....	3-11
3.4.3 Bench Scale Chlorine Test .....	3-14
3.5 Disinfection Alternatives.....	3-18
3.5.1 Alternative 1 – Ultraviolet Disinfection .....	3-18
3.5.2 Design Criteria .....	3-18
3.5.3 Facility Sizing.....	3-18
3.5.4 Alternative 2 – Combined Bulk Sodium Hypochlorite and Sodium Bisulfite Disinfection.....	3-19
3.5.5 Design Criteria .....	3-19
3.5.6 Facility Sizing.....	3-20
3.5.7 Economic Evaluation .....	3-21
3.5.8 Non-Economic Considerations.....	3-23
3.5.9 Non-Economic Criteria .....	3-23
3.5.9.1 Safety.....	3-23
3.5.9.2 Risk to Public .....	3-23
3.5.9.3 Operator Safety.....	3-23
3.5.9.4 Security.....	3-24
3.5.9.5 Impacts on Environment .....	3-24
3.5.9.6 System Reliability .....	3-24
3.5.9.7 Disinfection Byproduct Formation.....	3-24
3.5.9.8 Environmental Compatibility .....	3-24
3.5.9.9 Operability and Maintenance .....	3-25



	<b><u>Page</u></b>
3.5.9.10 Familiarity of Equipment .....	3-25
3.5.9.11 Viability .....	3-25
3.5.9.12 Shelf Life of Chemical .....	3-25
3.5.9.13 Cost Stability .....	3-26
3.5.10 Non-Economic Evaluation .....	3-26
3.6 Conclusions and Recommendations.....	3-27
4.0 I&I Assessment and Reduction Plan .....	4-1
4.1 Introduction .....	4-1
4.2 Background .....	4-1
4.3 I&I Assessment .....	4-3
4.4 Administration.....	4-4
4.5 Public Relations.....	4-4
4.6 I&I Quantification .....	4-5
4.6.1 Flow Monitoring.....	4-5
4.6.2 Rainfall Monitoring.....	4-5
4.6.3 Flow Data Analysis .....	4-5
4.7 I&I Identification.....	4-6
4.7.1 Manhole Inspections.....	4-6
4.7.2 Visual Pipe (Lamping) Inspections .....	4-7
4.7.3 Smoke Testing.....	4-8
4.7.4 Cleaning/CCTV Inspections.....	4-8
4.8 Cost-Effectiveness Analysis.....	4-9
4.8.1 Establishing Source Flows and Costs.....	4-9
4.8.2 Capacity Analysis.....	4-10
4.8.3 Treatment Analysis.....	4-10
4.8.4 Cost-Effectiveness Analysis.....	4-11
4.9 Recommendations and Implementation Plan .....	4-11
4.9.1 I&I Reduction.....	4-12
4.10 Priority 1 – Cost Effective Rehabilitation .....	4-12
4.10.1 Manhole Rehabilitation .....	4-12
4.10.2 Pipeline Rehabilitation .....	4-13
4.11 Priority 2 – Structural Rehabilitation .....	4-13
4.11.1 Manhole Rehabilitation .....	4-13
4.11.2 Pipeline Rehabilitation .....	4-14



	<b><u>Page</u></b>
4.12 Private I&I Abatement .....	4-14
4.13 Preventative Maintenance .....	4-14
4.14 Post Rehabilitation Flow Monitoring .....	4-15
4.15 Schedule .....	4-15
4.16 Recommendations .....	4-17
4.16.1 Introduction .....	4-17
4.17 Cost Effective Rehabilitation .....	4-17
4.18 Flow Monitoring.....	4-18
5.0 Implementation .....	5-1
5.1 Facility Improvement Recommendations .....	5-1
5.1.1 Disinfection Facilities.....	5-1
5.1.2 Nutrient Removal Facilities.....	5-3
5.1.3 Other Future Improvements to Consider.....	5-4
5.2 Staffing .....	5-5
5.2.1 Current Staffing Level.....	5-5
5.2.2 Future Staffing Levels .....	5-5
5.3 Plant Hydraulics .....	5-6
5.3.1 Proposed Hydraulic Modifications.....	5-6
5.4 Site Considerations.....	5-6
5.5 Opinion of Probable Costs – Nutrient Removal.....	5-8
5.5.1 Capital Costs.....	5-8
5.5.2 O&M Costs.....	5-8
5.5.3 Opinion of Probable Costs .....	5-8

## Tables

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Table ES-1 Capital and O&M Costs for UV Disinfection .....	ES-4
Table ES-2 Disinfection Compliance Schedule.....	ES-4
Table ES-3 Capital and O&M Cost for Various Levels of Nutrient Removal .....	ES-5
Table 1-1 Population Data and Projections .....	1-2
Table 1-2 Projection of Flows.....	1-3
Table 1-3 Summary of Historical Data.....	1-7
Table 1-4 Summary of Per Capital Wastewater Characteristics.....	1-7
Table 1-5 Future Wastewater Flow and Load Projections.....	1-8



	<u>Page</u>
Table 1-6 Missouri River Flood Elevations.....	1-9
Table 2-1 Treatment Plant Components for the Activated Sludge Process.....	2-4
Table 2-2 Summary of Results from the Nutrient Credit Trading Model .....	2-13
Table 3-1 Design Flow Rates for Disinfection Evaluations .....	3-3
Table 3-2 Alternative 1 – Design Criteria.....	3-18
Table 3-3 Alternative 1 – UV Equipment Sizing.....	3-19
Table 3-4 Alternative 2 – Design Criteria.....	3-19
Table 3-5 Alternative 2 – Facility Sizing.....	3-20
Table 3-6 Economic Evaluation of Disinfection Alternatives.....	3-22
Table 3-7 Advantages/Disadvantages of Disinfection Technologies .....	3-26
Table 3-8 Recommended UV Equipment Sizing.....	3-28
Table 4-1 I&I Assessment and Reduction Plan .....	4-16
Table 4-2 Recommended Improvement Cost Summary.....	4-19
Table 5-1 Capital and O&M Costs for UV Disinfection .....	5-9
Table 5-2 Capital and O&M Cost for Various Levels of Nutrient Removal.....	5-9

## Figures

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1-1 Existing Process Schematic.....	Following Page 1-1
Figure 1-2 Daily Influent Mass Load of BOD.....	1-5
Figure 1-3 Daily Influent Mass Load of TSS .....	1-6
Figure 1-4 Existing Hydraulic Profile.....	Following Page 1-9
Figure 2-1 Phased Site Layout (Draft).....	Following Page 2-4
Figure 2-2 Vicinity Map .....	Following Page 2-7
Figure 2-3 Location Map .....	Following Page 2-9
Figure 2-4 Mass Balance Model for Effluent Credit Trading for Two Leavenworth Treatment Facilities.....	2-11
Figure 2-5 Mass Balance Model for a 4.4 mgd Design Flow – New Plant at 6 mg/L TN and 1 mg/L TP .....	2-11
Figure 2-6 Mass Balance Model for a 4.4 mgd Design Flow – New Plant at 5 mg/L TN and 0.5 mg/L TP.....	2-12
Figure 2-7 Mass Balance Model for a 4.4 mgd Design Flow – New Plant at 3 mg/L TN and 0.3 mg/L TP .....	2-12



	<u>Page</u>
Figure 3-1 Current UV Disinfection Systems.....	3-4
Figure 3-2 LP-HV UV System .....	3-5
Figure 3-3 Leavenworth Secondary Effluent Transmittance Data .....	3-9
Figure 3-4 Frequency Analysis of Effluent Transmittance from On-line Sensor.....	3-10
Figure 3-5 Spectrophotometer to Measure UV Transmittance.....	3-11
Figure 3-6 E.Coli Concentration of the UV Treated Samples .....	3-12
Figure 3-7 Enterococci Concentration in the UV Treated Samples .....	3-12
Figure 3-8 Comparing Enterococci Concentration for Filtered and Unfiltered Samples .....	3-13
Figure 3-9 Chlorine Decay Curves – September 30, 2010 .....	3-14
Figure 3-10 Chlorine Decay Curves – October 05, 2010 .....	3-14
Figure 3-11 Chlorine Decay Curves – October 07, 2010 .....	3-15
Figure 3-12 Chlorine Decay Curves – October 12, 2010 .....	3-15
Figure 3-13 Chlorine Decay Curves – October 14, 2010 .....	3-15
Figure 3-14 Chlorine Decay Curves – October 19, 2010 .....	3-15
Figure 3-15 Bacterial Analysis for Treated Primary and Trickling Filter Effluent .....	3-16
Figure 3-16 Comparing Enterococci Concentration for Filtered and Unfiltered Samples .....	3-17
Figure 3-17 UV Layout.....	Following Page 3-18
Figure 3-18 Chlorine Contact Basin Layout.....	Following Page 3-19
Figure 4-1 Proposed Meter Sites & General System Layout.....	4-21
Figure 5-1 Phased Site Layout.....	Following Page 5-4

## Appendices

Appendix A – Current NPDES Permit

Appendix B – Collection System Recommended Rehabilitation